Clarification Questions

1. What role is rule 21 expected to play in the moot? Should teams make reference, for example, to the fact that courts should only strike claims where it is "plain and obvious" that it has no chance of success? Or, are teams expected to the problem as if the court can finally determine whether there is a duty of care?

   The legal test for a *Rule* 21 motion is not in issue on this appeal. Participants must not make submissions on the *Rule* 21 test, framework or its application.

2. To what extent are parties permitted to rely on external research, particularly as it relates to evaluating the standard of care?

   External research is permitted as long as they are cited in the factum and are verifiable and relevant. Please do not use AI generated research.

3. Will the scoring make allowances for the fact that appellants do not have a dissenting opinion to work with?

   No

4. The Anns/Cooper test would usually only apply if the case does not fall into a previously recognized category or silo of cases. If we think it falls into a previously established duty, do we need to apply the full Anns/Cooper test, or should we use it only to cross-check our decision to place it in a category?

   Issue number 1 requires the applications of the Anns/Cooper test.

5. Are we allowed to present information about how this technology works?

   External research is permitted as long as they are cited in the factum and are verifiable and relevant. Please do not use AI generated research.

6. Are we allowed to present Canadian statistics on gun fatalities?

   External research is permitted as long as they are cited in the factum and are verifiable and relevant. Please do not use AI generated research.